Hand calculation number e

For most people who do programming and use the extended math package like the one called "GNU Multiple Precision Arithmetic Library" or others which is available with many different programming languages you cannot do the correct decimal calculation with the digits in error, try it and check your results with mine? The problem with the GNU is that it is binary and not decimal like humans use and the calculation extends beyond the required accuracy, you can not set it to say 98 digits you can print a set number of digits from more. For your information I have calculated PI to 100 digits by hand it took 108 pages and that result agreed with the results of my PI program which has the same core program as my "e" program. A good example of the problem can be seen at the web site "<u>mathforum.org/dr.math/faq/faq.e.html</u>. Note when doing hand calculation you do not round up the value as they did in the example 13 times. You just simply truncate the answer as this works to your advantage, if you want to expand your calculation in the future you do not reinvent the wheel and start all over. In the above mentioned example they did a 25 digit calculation and ended up with an answer one unit above than it should be and said it was correct. There were 13 terms that were rounded up by one unit and the extra unit also came in the last calculation which placed the total over the correct value. Their value was "2.7182818284590452353602875" and should have been one unit less at "2.7182818284590452353602874"; by the way the 26^{th} digit is a 7 which would cause a round up only if you know the extra digit. Because the answers were round up by one unit they must have had extra digits beyond the 25 digits before the term was printed which is very common when doing extended math calculation. In the last division they had a value of 16 and it needed to be divide by 25 which should have been a 0 for 25 digits and not the incorrect answer of 1, yes if you do extra digits which allows you to do auto round up the answer would become a 1. The same effect was in the 22 digit answer which was their goal for doing the calculation; it ended up being rounded up by 1 unit in the last digit too. This is what I am talking about in a hand calculation you do not have the next digit to work with so you cannot round up and should never do. If you create a program it needs to have the same results as a hand calculation would do. The following listing is the values they should have produced the +1 at the end of the 13 lines is where the round up should have not occurred. As a justification of my statements, when William Shanks published his 707 digits of his 709 digits calculated value of PI the last two digits that were dropped was 92 and he did not use them to round up. For his 609 digits he also dropped the last two digits which were only 08 which had no effect. In his book he listed all the terms for his 530 digit calculation of PI and he also did not round up the terms. I can think of two methods that may just work correctly if some one with extended math package would like to try it.

I did the following hand calculation for 25 digits in 3 hours; it took seven pages for the total calculation. I have placed the scanned pages on my web site for your viewing at "engert.us/erwin/miscellaneous/Hand calculation number e.pdf". In a similar location my 20, 40 and 100 hand digits calculation of PI can be found at the more general location "engert.us/erwin/miscellaneous.html"

 $1/0! = 1.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000$

```
1/1! = 1.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000
1/2! = 0.50000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000
1/3! = 0.16666 \ 66666 \ 66666 \ 66666 \ 66666 \ + 1
1/4! = 0.04166\ 66666\ 66666\ 66666\ + 1
1/5! = 0.00833 \ 33333 \ 33333 \ 33333 \ 33333 \ 33333
1/6! = 0.00138\ 88888\ 88888\ 88888\ 88888\ 88888\ +1
1/7! = 0.00019 84126 98412 69841 26984
1/8! = 0.00002 \ 48015 \ 87301 \ 58730 \ 15873
1/9! = 0.00000 \ 27557 \ 31922 \ 39858 \ 90652 + 1
1/10! = 0.00000\ 02755\ 73192\ 23985\ 89065
1/11! = 0.00000\ 00250\ 52108\ 38544\ 17187 + 1
1/12! = 0.00000\ 00020\ 87675\ 69878\ 68098 + 1
1/13! = 0.00000\ 00001\ 60590\ 43836\ 82161
1/14! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 11470\ 74559\ 77297
1/15! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00764\ 71637\ 31819 + 1
1/16! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00047\ 79477\ 33238 + 1
1/17! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00002\ 81145\ 72543
1/18! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 15619\ 20696 + 1
1/19! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00822\ 06352
1/20! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00041\ 10317 + 1
1/21! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00001\ 95729
1/22! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 08896 + 1
1/23! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00386 + 1
1/24! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00016
1/25! = 0.00000\ 00000\ 00000\ 00000\ + 1
```

 $e = 2.71828 \ 18284 \ 59045 \ 23536 \ 02862$

This is the correct sum that they should have produced if they were doing the work correctly and matched these 25 digits with the 12 unit error in the last digit.

See my hand calculation for 25 digits for the number "e".

són .																																
1666	3) 5 20 000	~	420	< 18	1	19140	45 1666	16		 726	(2 4	2	00 63	57 04166	40	712	-21	5														
	2	00000	00000	00000	6666	1666	33333	888 8.8	55553				873	98 412				•				-		0	4	58	56	24	24	1 12		
	2222	00000	00000	00000	66666	66666	33333	88888					21034	1		B	2	44	2	2 22		36	40	•	0				/			
	22222	00000	000000	00000	66666	1	33823	88888	5				Ч		16		3						7			20						
	2 2	00	00000	00			33333				84126	88888		67		8	6	28	26	8	~	30	41	18	42	9						
	11222	00000	0	0		1	00833	6	05	\dagger	19	21 00138	~	914	9	5				-	-	/		/		1. 1						

•

Page 2		,																																					
			17187	89065				-			e															20	-	79	12	20	11	76	88	86	17	8			
			38544	23985												2	~	92	20	6 - 3	5-5	18	42	45	44	-	7												
			80125	73192				2	L	23	22	11	=	22																									
			22	2755	12	152	55	5	5					-																									
	90 65 2	15 8 73		ま と						-																			•					53	54	47	47	2017	٥
-	3985-8	58730							j.													5	~	88	81	77	77	53	H5-	80	22	20	8 /						
- -	31922	730										8	~	17	6	63	\$1	20	8	10	19	~				9													
	27557	108	20	× 8	63	21	45	15	<u>45</u>	C 6	63	2	2		-																								
		20000	-								•	х.															>*												
		95				- i . -						÷							2																				

6a_							-	r	r		r,	1	<u></u>	r					i		r	1	.						r	 -	T	T			,		
	82 161	86087												1						6	4	28	26	20	13	64:	28	8 7	-	2							
	43 836	5								9	2	49	39	108	104	47	39	88	10	01	01																
	605-30	87675		8	òc	240	1.5	117	117	050	5																										
		20																	•																		
		135																																			
	68082				-																			1		* 7	96	811	108	107	36	11					
	69878	44												3	2	2		105	36	94	84	104		8 1		•											
	87675	52108		۰ ا		32	84	18	72	96	84	89	09	8	7.	1	/										X 13	13	26	39	52	5	78	16	104	L 11	120
	20	5	24	10	9	•			, ,			× .															XIZ	27	24	36	82	01	72	44	76	801	120
		127		-		4										•													2	\$	<i>h</i>	5	9	7	<u></u>	6	01

.

•

Page 3

.

31815	1141															~	2	27	15	122	12.0	29	15	くケリ	135	21								
71637	25621					~	1.	24	-2-	60	96	5	152	601	h	7	2																	
264	2221		90	91	09	2	0/																											
												-																						
77297	19,70																	8	8	0 2	} & .	4	28	136	126	101	98	8						
	22 010							h 0	8	63	50	16	70	83	20	126	2	01	0							- 7		2						
02711	2	000	14	65	25	66	98	1	6																X 15	1	3		6	2	90	501	120	581
		>			×																				7 1 Y	11.	28	24	5.6	02	48	8 6	112	126
	1																									-	2	~	4	4	1	~	8	8

٠

•

Page 4

•

1000 10

a and the second second as a second

ŗ

• • • • • • • •

.

a sing of the fact that the second of the second state of the seco

•

\$																	·																					
rage					-							1			1																							
1																																						
		00																			89	8	51	7													-	
	いて	3323												~	9	43	34	76	85-	73	69	, n													_			
	81145	79422		. 2	2	61	21.2	24		5 2	60	20	80	12	11			26895	725-43										2	c	125	108	アトレ	162	123	801	ふー	
1	え	イフ	34	13	13													61951	11 45		01	0	r11	08	34	81	165	162	3									
		12 21																/	185-28	1 8	0 1	6																
	33238	181		4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					,							00	38	32	12	00	139	128	1 1		د الا	18	36	14	7 2	9 Ø	108	126	14 4		081
	9422	1632 3						/.		4	4.4	193		117	7 1 1	5-3	1 8	<u>_</u>	3									X L7 X	17	24	5	88	L.	102	6 11	-	531	671
	47 2	764 7	64	124	1 1 2	127	611	1211	101	* .													2					× 16.	11	32	84	64	0 20	96	112	128	144	140
		いい																											•	7	\$			2		8	5	a

		- 	,
	, ,		
95729 95729 197 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
53 2			
95,729 10317 20 20 20 15,7 15,7 15,7 15,7 147 147	hre ve 60000		
2	541		
		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	134
20696	8080 re 77 ro 00 re 00 re		14 7 16 8 21 8 21 8
1-1 22-22- 23-22- 23-22- 25-62- 2-1- 26- 2-1- 26- 2-1- 2-1- 2-1- 2-		X 19+ 76 26 26 11 4	133
1421		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-0-0-5

de							_				2			-								 					 		 	
		2-0																												
ц		Terms																												
5 •		Firet 7																								-				
¥ ,											•									•										
	a	-13	26984	15823	70652	89063	00	68093	0	7.729.7	31819	332.38	12543	20696	06352		95739	7688	386	16	02862									
	89788 9	5.5	80	N		985	~	578				1 66 46	54118	619	127	1			1		 23536									
	5547	535	21486	301	727	73192 2	108	57675 6	05-90 4	114707	764 7	7 7 T	2		Ŀ						59045									
	2223535	5		51	557	4	252														18284		-							
	2	-									-						-			۰.	182811				•					
1 1 1		2 7	а.	8	6	6/	11	71	13	5-		16	17	18	6	20	12	22	23	24	27									

•

Page 7